Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) PRIESTLEY ET AL.	
10/083,010		
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHANTO M Z ABEDIN	2136	

	SHANTO M Z ABEDIN	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
\(\begin{align*} \) \text{The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (a) \(\begin{align*} \) \text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) for the proposed amendment (a) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (b) \(\begin{align*} \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) for the proposed amendment (a) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (b) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (c) filed after a final rejection (c) filed after a filed amendment (c) filed after	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-18.20-29.31 and 32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Nasser G Moazzami/	/Shanto M Z Abedin/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the applicant's arguments regarding the 35 USC 101 type rejections, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant that proposed amendments cure the previously presented 35 USC 101 type issues. In particular, claims 1 and 31 are directed to a system, however, besides the preamble, actual claim limitations do not recite any computer or hardware component. A wrapper or passphrase or software implemented service are considered as software implemented product, herefore, the claimed system can be implemented in software just and being plemented in software the software implemented product.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendments such as computer memory recited in preamble only, and fails to cure the 35 USC 101 type issues, therefore, claimed system is still software implemented only, and being non-statutory. The applicant's proposed after final amendments for claims 18 and 27 are also considered, however, these limitations were already addressed in terms of relection the other claims, and further fails to place the application in a better position for appeal.

Therefore, proposed after final amendments are not entered, and previous 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 103 type relections maintained.